

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,181	09/13/2000	Igor Neyman	P3244D2	8027	
24739 75	. 12/29/2003	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
	OAST PATENT AG	HONG, HA	HONG, HARRY S		
PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642	И	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2642

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/661,18	1	NEYMAN ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Harry S. H		2642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period w y will, by statute,	36(a). In no eve within the statu iil apply and will cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>13 Se</u>	eptember 2	<u>000</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	action is no	n-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	r election re	quirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examinei	r.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) <u>□</u> acc€	epted or b)[\square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the o	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_	•	= : :	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmen	•			4) D Intensions Summer	(DTO 442) Paper No(a)		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		•		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Preliminary Amendment filed on December 19, 2000 has been placed of record and entered as Paper No. 3.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because, on line 7 "PC/VDO" should read --PC/VDU--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the information for application No. 08/968,825 should be added to the section entitled "Cross-Reference to Related Documents" on page 1 of the specification since 09/443,057 is a divisional of 08/968,825 which is a CIP of 08/869,815. Also, please update the data with the latest patent status; i.e. pending, abandoned or patented. If patented please provide the patent number.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 6 "PC/VDO" should read --PC/VDU--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 2642

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Smith, Jr. (Smith; U.S. Patent No. 5,784,451; previously cited and applied in the parent applications).

The invention as CLAIMED reads on Smith as follows. Refer to FIG 5 of Smith. The claimed workstations comprising telephones and PC/VDUs reads on the Telephone Stations 22a-22c and the Workstations 24a-24c respectively. The claimed SCP reads on the Network Service Control Point 10. The claimed WAN reads on the connection to the Departmental Server 26. The claimed personal router reads on the Local Stored Program Controls 18a and 18b since they control the switched call signaling to the individual telephone stations 22a-22c based upon individual routing rules and the data pertaining to the call (refer to the Abstract, FIGs 6a-6e, and from column 3, line 44 to column 4, line 63). The claimed LAN reads on the CORPORATE BACKBONE depicted in FIG 5. The claimed client server relationship and the CTI connections are taught throughout the disclosure of Smith.

Double Patenting

7. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in

Art Unit: 2642

scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-12 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,005,931. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,122,360. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are broader in scope than the claims of the patent. In re KARLSON (CCPA) 136 USPQ 184 (1963).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 306-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2642

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Harry S. Hang

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642

December 1, 2003